# Reducing Muscle Fatigue Due to Functional Electrical Stimulation Using Random Modulation of Stimulation Parameters

\*†Adam Thrasher, \*†Geoffrey M. Graham, and \*Milos R. Popovic

\*Institute of Biomaterials and Biomedical Engineering, University of Toronto; and †Lyndhurst Centre, Toronto Rehabilitation Institute, Toronto, Canada

**Abstract:** A major limitation of many functional electrical stimulation (FES) applications is that muscles tend to fatigue very rapidly. It was hypothesized that FES-induced muscle fatigue could be reduced by randomly modulating the pulse frequency, amplitude, and pulse width in a range of  $\pm 15\%$ . Seven subjects with spinal-cord injuries participated in this study. FES was applied to quadriceps and tibialis anterior muscles using surface electrodes. Isometric force was measured, and the time for the force to drop by 3 dB (fatigue time) was compared between trials. Four different modes of FES were applied in random

Functional electrical stimulation (FES) is a means of evoking contractions in paralyzed muscles by passing small electrical impulses through nerve tissue. It can be used to induce coordinated movements such as walking or grasping (1). FES has been shown to improve impaired function, to slow down or stop bone and muscle deterioration, and to improve circulation in paralyzed limbs of spinal cord injury (SCI) and stroke patients (2). However, one of the major limitations is that stimulated muscles tend to fatigue very rapidly, which limits the role of FES in applications such as standing and walking.

Although the exact cause of muscle fatigue is not known, it has been attributed mainly to failure at the synaptic junction, a decrease in transmitter release, and metabolic exhaustion of the contractile mechanism. In the context of SCI, the problem of fatigue is exacerbated by several physiological changes that order: constant stimulation, randomized frequency, randomized amplitude, and randomized pulse width. There was no significant difference between the fatigue-time measurements for the four modes of stimulation (P = 0.329). Therefore, random modulation appeared to have no effect. Based on an observed correlation between maximum force measurements and trial order, we concluded that having 10-min rest periods between trials was insufficient. **Key Words:** Neuroprostheses—Functional electrical stimulation (FES)—Pulse frequency—Spinal cord injury (SCI)—Fatigue—Isometric contraction.

result from paralysis, including hypertonia and disuse atrophy (3). Long-term inactivity due to SCI is associated with chronic changes in muscle metabolism, blood flow, and fiber composition (4–7). The bulk of the transformation in muscle fiber type (from slowto fast-twitch) due to disuse atrophy occurs during the first 10 months after injury. A muscle has greater fatigue resistance in acute paraplegics (less than 10 months postinjury) compared to chronic paraplegics (greater than 10 months postinjury) (8).

One reported solution to the muscle fatigue problem, and the basis for this study, is to apply stochastic modulation to the interpulse interval, which is equivalent to randomly modulating the pulse frequency (9). It was reported that the amount of time that a leg could be extended against gravity was increased by 37% when the interpulse interval of stimulation was varied in a range of  $\pm 12\%$  (compared to constant-frequency stimulation). This was a significant result, but it was limited to a single subject.

Other methods of fatigue reduction have practical limitations. Muscle conditioning is time-consuming, requiring several weeks of intense training, and it can lead to a decrease in muscular strength due to the

Received February 2005.

Address correspondence and reprint requests to Dr. Adam Thrasher, Lyndhurst Centre—Research, 520 Sutherland Drive, Toronto, Ont. M4G 3V9, Canada. E-mail: adam.thrasher@ utoronto.ca

increase in slow-fatiguing muscle fibers (4-7). Doublet stimulation, although promising, has demonstrated both a positive and negative effect on the fatigue time depending on the test conditions and protocol (3,10-13). Sequential stimulation of multiple motor points is not suitable for clinical use on humans since it is invasive, requiring insertion of multiple needle electrodes for each muscle (14). Intermittent high-frequency stimulation has been shown to result in greater contractile forces with less fatigue than intermittent low-frequency stimulation in able-bodied and paraplegic subjects (15). However, due to the extended periods of rest required between pulse trains, intermittent stimulation is limited to cyclic applications such as hybrid orthotics (16). There remains a clear need for practical solutions to the problem of FES-induced muscle fatigue as well as an understanding of the underlying mechanisms of fatigue.

The goal of this study was to reduce the rate of muscle fatigue by randomly modulating FES signal parameters. We hypothesized that by randomly modulating the pulse frequency, amplitude, and width, the resulting firing rate and level of recruitment of motor units would vary over time. A constantly changing firing rate and recruitment level should increase and decrease the total number of motor units activated, allow some motor units on the margin of stimulation brief periods of rest, and thereby increase the fatigue resistance during isometric contractions. We proposed two mechanisms by which this may occur. Firstly, variations that exist in the threshold (intensity and duration of stimulus needed to generate an action potential in axons) among motor neurons due to their differences in size and depth could be exploited. Varying the amplitude and pulse width of stimulation could excite nerve fibers of differing size and location in the nerve bundles and cause quasistochastic contractions of motor units with different contractile properties. Secondly, variation in the frequency of stimulation affects the frequency of action potentials and the amount of neurotransmitter released at the synaptic gap. This could lead to variation in the number of muscle fibers recruited and the level of tetany of each fiber.

#### **METHODS**

Seven SCI subjects were recruited from the inpatient and outpatient population at the Toronto Rehabilitation Institute. One subject was female and 6 were male (mean age of  $31.2 \pm 6.2$ ) and their level of injury ranged from C6/C7 to T8 (see Table 1). Four of the subjects were first-time FES users, while three of them had previous training ranging from 3 months to over 1 year. Two muscle groups were tested bilaterally for each subject: the tibialis anterior and the quadriceps. We were not able to induce measurable contractions for the right tibialis anterior of one subject and the right and left tibialis anterior of another subject, probably due to peripheral nerve damage. Other results were rejected if the muscle exhibited spastic contractions. Data was analyzed for an equivalent of 22 muscles.

Biphasic, bipolar, current-controlled stimulation pulses were administered using a stimulator and adhesive surface electrodes (Compex Motion, Ecublens, Switzerland). A push-button was used to trigger the onset of electrical stimulation with a linear ramp-up time of 0.5 s. As shown in Fig. 1(A), a pair of  $5 \times 5$  cm electrodes were attached over the proximal (active electrode) and distal (reference electrode) ends of the tibialis anterior muscles. A  $5 \times 10$  cm electrode was attached to the skin of the proximal (active electrode) end of the quadriceps and a  $5 \times 5$  cm electrode to the distal (reference electrode) end of each of the quadriceps (see Fig. 1C). All tests were performed while subjects were seated in an upright position on a padded bench, as shown in Fig. 1B. Participants were secured in position with waist and leg straps. Isometric joint force was measured using a strain-gage-based, tension/compression

Subject	Age (years)	Level of injury	Injury duration (years)	Prior FES training
1	26	T2/T3	9	Surface stim, 3 months
2	27	Τ7	0.25	None
3	24	C6/C7	8	None
4	31	C6/C7	7	None
5	29	T4	3	FES bike, 1 year
6	38	C7	13	None
7	39	T8	10	Surface stim, 1 year
Average	30.6		7.2	
Standard deviation	5.9		4.3	
Minimum	24	Τ8	0.25	None
Maximum	39	C6/C7	13	1 year

TABLE 1. Summary of subject data



**FIG. 1.** Electrode placement on (A) anterior tibialis and (C) quadriceps, showing the active electrodes located proximally and the reference electrodes located distally. (B) shows the upright sitting position of each subject on the padded bench. (D) shows the strain-gage load cell used to measure force. The person in this figure is able-bodied and was only used to demonstrate the experimental setup.

pancake load cell (Honeywell Sensotec, Columbus, OH, U.S.A.—Fig. 1D) with a range of -1100 to 1100 N. The signal from the load cell was amplified using a strain gage conditioner (Daytronic Corporation, Dayton, OH, U.S.A.) and then passed through an analog-to-digital converter. Data was sampled at 1000 Hz using data acquisition software written in Labview (National Instruments Co., Austin, TX, U.S.A.).

The load cell was mounted on the base of the apparatus in one of two positions. When the knee extension moment was being measured, the load cell was mounted anterior to the subject's ankle, and a strap connected in series to the load cell was fixed to the ankle. In the second configuration, the load cell was mounted below the foot rest, and the strap was attached to the foot over the metatarsus. The load cell was thus used to measure isometric knee extension and isometric dorsiflexion moments.

Before each test, the electrodes were tested for proper placement on the muscle. Stimulus was applied with no randomization while manual resistance was applied to the joint. The pulse amplitude was increased until a level was reached where no further increase in amplitude increased the muscle force or muscle contour as perceived by the investigator. The mean pulse amplitude was set at 75% of this value for all four tests for that muscle. Four trials were performed on each muscle group; no random modulation of any parameters (control trial), random modulation of pulse amplitude (amplitude trial), random modulation of pulse frequency (frequency trial), and random modulation of pulse width (pulse width trial). A 10-min rest time was administered between each test, which was considered to be adequate for repeatable results (3,12,13). The order of the trials was randomized.

A mean stimulation frequency of 40 Hz was used, which has been used in previous fatigue tests (3). A mean pulse width of  $250 \,\mu s$  was used. The pulse amplitude was set between 34 and 110 mA, varying with each subject and muscle group and selected as described above. All three parameters when randomized were varied above and below the mean by 15% using a uniform probability distribution. Values for pulse amplitude, width, and frequency were refreshed every 100 ms.

The "fatigue time" was defined as the duration between the onset of stimulation (time zero) and the point where the force decreased to below 70% of the maximum force. Since this threshold was chosen arbitrarily, we also conducted the same analysis using thresholds of 60% and 80%. We also considered the normalized fatigue time integral (FTI), which is defined as follows.

$$FTI = \frac{\int_0^1 F(t)dt}{F_{\text{max}}}$$
(1)



**FIG. 2.** Force-time curve for stimulation of subject #3's right tibialis with amplitude randomization. There are peaks at approximately 3.6, 7.6, and 13.8 s.

where *T* is the fatigue time for that trial, F(t) is the force over time, and  $F_{max}$  is the maximum force. In this measure, the shape of the curve is taken into account. A gradual decrease of force would yield a lower FTI value than a force that was sustained over the same period of time then dropped off suddenly.

In order to remove noise and smooth the data, a 22nd-order polynomial was fitted to each curve using a least-squares algorithm to facilitate data analysis (Fig. 2). The order of the polynomial was determined using an iterative method on a representative sample of curves by increasing the order until the  $R^2$  value remained the same (to 3 significant digits) for consecutive iterations. The polynomial was used to find the instant in time when the force dropped below threshold and the corresponding FTI. To approximate how much the stimulation order biased the results, the stimulation order for each muscle was compared to the order in the magnitude of maximum force, fatigue time, and FTI for each test.

The effect of the four stimulation modes was tested using an analysis of variance (ANOVA) for repeated measures. Separate tests were performed using fatigue time measurements and FTI measurements. We also tested the hypothesis that fatigue time and FTI were sensitive to the order of trials. Similarly, the maximum force measurements were also considered. Statistical significance was set at P < 0.05.



**FIG. 3.** Average values over all muscles of fatigue time and FTI for the four treatment groups: Constant stimulation (Control), amplitude modulation (AMP), frequency modulation (FREQ), and pulse-width modulation (PW). *P* value > 0.05 for all tests.

TABLE 2.	Summary of statistical results from all	l
	hypothesis tests	

Repeated measures	Threshold (%)	P value	
ANOVA		Order effect	Stim. effect
Fatigue time	60	0.336	0.117
0	70	0.329	0.229
	80	0.503	0.372
FTI	60	0.415	0.119
	70	0.414	0.218
	80	0.549	0.373
Maximum force		0.304	0.0003

### RESULTS

Figure 3 illustrates the average values of fatigue time and FTI for all muscles using the 70% force threshold for the four modes of stimulation. Although random modulation of the amplitude, frequency, and pulse width produced slightly higher fatigue time measurements than the control trials, the differences were not significantly different (Pvalue = 0.329). There was also no significant effect of random modulation on FTI (P value = 0.414). Maximum force, however, was clearly affected by the order of stimulation (P value = 0.0029). Table 2 shows the P values resulting from all tests for a randomization effect, an effect due to trial order, and an effect due to which leg was stimulated (left vs. right).

It was confirmed that the fatigue time and the maximum force measurements were independent. In Fig. 4, all trials are plotted vs. maximum force, and a best-fit line was determined using least-squares regression. There was very little, if any, correlation between the maximum force and the fatigue time at 70% threshold ( $R^2 = 0.081$  and P value = 0.197). Similarly, Fig. 4B shows no correlation between the maximum force and the normalized FTI at 70% threshold ( $R^2 = 0.047$  and P value = 0.119).

There was no difference seen between subjects with previous FES training and subjects with no previous FES training in terms of fatigue time (P value = 0.983) or FTI (P value = 0.924) measurements. Further, there was no correlation between length of FES training and fatigue time ( $R^2 = 0.022$ , P value = 0.073) or FTI ( $R^2 = 0.016$ , P value = 0.105). In Fig. 5 the average maximum force, FTI, and fatigue time measurements are shown with respect to the order of the trials. The magnitude of the maximum force clearly decreases from one test to the next (Fig. 5A). This, however, did not affect the normalized FTI measurements. Were the FTI measurements



**FIG. 4.** Linear correlation between (A) fatigue time and maximum force ( $R^2 = 0.081$ ) and (B) FTI and maximum force ( $R^2 = 0.047$ )

not normalized, they would have been highly dependent on trial order (P < 0.0001). The fatigue time measurements were independent of the trial order (P = 0.229).

#### DISCUSSION

One previous study had demonstrated a significant increase in fatigue time using stochastic modulation of stimulation frequency (9). However, it was only demonstrated on a single subject. The improved fatigue resistance could have been a result of recruiting more muscle fibers and distributing the load over more muscle or of varying the level of tetany of the muscle fibers over time. However, our results on seven different individuals showed no overall effect on fatigue of randomly modulating the stimulation parameters in the range of  $\pm 15\%$  about the chosen mean values.

In our experiments, a 10-min rest time was chosen due in part to time constraints and in part because repeatable results have been achieved using a 10-min rest time in previous studies (3,12,13). In addition, studies have demonstrated a full recovery in peak force and endurance from short high-intensity stimulation after only 10 min (17) and 95% recovery in peak force from continuous maximum voluntary contractions after only 3 min (18). Our results did not indicate a full recovery in the muscle's potential to reach peak force since the peak force was highly dependent on stimulation order.

Non-normalized FTI, which incorporates force, was also highly dependent on stimulation order and was therefore not a reliable measure of muscular fatigue. With a longer rest time of perhaps 30 min or several hours, FTI would not be influenced by previ-



**FIG. 5.** The maximum force, FTI, and fatigue time data averaged for all subjects for the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 4th trial. Stimulation order appeared to have no effect in terms of fatigue time or FTI, however, it had a clear, diminishing effect on maximum force (P < 0.0001). Error bars indicate ± one standard deviation.

ous testing and could be an effective tool for measuring fatigue. Fatigue time was not highly influenced by the order of stimulation. One possible explanation for this may be that during the first stimulation trial, the fast-twitch muscle fibers become fatigued, and then in later trials, the contraction is caused mostly by fatigue-resistant slow-twitch fibers.

Isometric muscle force is a critical factor in many daily activities such as standing and grasping and therefore effort is justified in trying to reduce isometric fatigue. We chose to investigate fatigue in isometric conditions for several reasons. First, it is the easiest condition to control experimentally. Second, it is desirable to limit the number of factors, such as stretch velocity and different muscle lengths, so as not to confound the results with too many dimensions.

Subjects with previous FES training demonstrated no particular resistance to fatigue when compared to subjects with no previous FES experience. This was a somewhat surprising result, but we had no indication of how intensive the subjects' FES applications had been.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

Despite significant efforts to reduce and eliminate the problem of muscle fatigue associated with FES, it remains a major limitation for applications of FES such as walking and grasping. Random modulation of frequency, amplitude, and pulse width during stimulation did not appear to have any effect on the fatigue rate of isometric contractions of the quadriceps and tibialis anterior muscles of subjects with complete SCI. Therefore, we conclude that these are not viable techniques for fatigue reduction in practice. Rest periods of 10 min were found to be insufficient to allow complete restoration of muscle strength between stimulation trials.

Acknowledgments: This study was funded by grants provided by the Canadian Foundation for Innovation (CFI), the Ontario Innovation Trust (OIT), the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) of Canada, and by a fellowship from the Canadian Paraplegic Association Ontario (CPA Ontario).

#### REFERENCES

- Baker LL, McNeal DR, Benton LA, Bowman BR, Waters RL. *Neuromuscular Electrical Stimulation: A Practical Guide*, 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition. Downey, CA: Rancho Rehabilitation Engineering Program, Rancho Los Amigo Medical Centre, 1993.
- Stein RB, Chong SL, James KB, et al. Electrical stimulation for therapy and mobility after spinal cord injury. *Prog Brain Res* 2002;137:27–34.
- Thomas CK, Griffin L, Godfrey S, Ribot-Ciscar E, Butler JE. Fatigue of paralysed and control thenar muscles induced by variable or constant frequency stimulation. *J Neurophysiology* 2003;89:2055–64.
- 4. Peckham PH, Mortimer JT, Marsolais EB. Alteration in the force and fatigability of skeletal muscle induced by chronic electrical stimulation. *Clin Orthop Rel R* 1976;114:326–34.
- Lopez-Guajardo A, Sutherland H, Jarvis JC, Salmons S. Induction of a fatigue-resistant phenotype in rabbit fast muscle by small daily amounts of stimulation. *J Appl Physiol* 2000;90:1909–18.
- Harridge S, Andersen JL, Hartkopp A, et al. Training by lowfrequency stimulation of tibialis anterior in spinal cord-injured men. *Muscle Nerve* 2002;25:685–94.
- Sutherland H, Jarvis JC, Salmons S. Pattern dependence in the stimulation-induced type transformation of rabbit fast skeletal muscle. *Neuromodulation* 2003;6:176–89.
- Gaviria M, Ohanna F. Variability of the fatigue response of paralyzed skeletal muscle in relation to the time after spinal cord injury: mechanical and electrophysiological characteristics. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 1999;80:145–53.
- Graupe D, Suliga P, Prudian C, Kohn KH. Stochasticallymodulated stimulation to slow down muscle fatigue at stimulated sites in paraplegics using functional electrical stimulation for leg extension. *Neurol Res* 2000;22:703–4.
- 10. Routh GR, Durfee WK. Doublet stimulation to reduce fatigue in electrically stimulated muscle during controlled leg lifts. In *Proc. of the IEEE-EMBS*, October 2003, pp. 1531–4.
- 11. Karu ZZ, Durfee WK, Barzilai AM. Reducing muscle fatigue in FES applications by stimulating with N-let pulse trains. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 1995;42:809–17.
- Bigland-Ritchie B, Zijdewind I, Thomas CK. Muscle fatigue induced by stimulation with and without doublets. *Muscle Nerve* 2000;23:1348–55.
- Kebaetse MB, Turner AE, Binder-Macleod SA. Effects of stimulation frequencies and patterns on performance of repetitive, nonisometric tasks. J Appl Phisiol 2002;92:109–16.
- 14. Lau HK, Liu J, Pereira BP, Kumar VP, Pho RWH. Fatigue reduction by sequential stimulation of multiple motor points in a muscle. *Clin Ortho Rel R* 1995;321:251–8.
- Matsunaga T, Shimada Y, Sato K. Muscle fatigue from intermittent stimulation with low and high frequency electrical pulses. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 1999;80:48–53.
- Popovic D, Sinkjaer T. Control of Movement for the Physically Disabled. Aalborg: Springer-Verlag, 2000.
- Lariviere C, Gravel D, Arsenault AB, Gagnon D, Loisel P. Muscle recovery from a short fatigue test and consequence on the reliability of EMG indices of fatigue. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2003;89:171–6.
- Bigland-Ritchie BR, Dawson NJ, Johansson RS, Lippold OC. Reflex origin for the slowing of motoneurone firing rates in fatigue of human voluntary contractions. *J Physiol* 1986;379: 451–9.